1		The Honorable Ricardo S. Martinez	
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE		
9	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE		
10			
11		N CD21 72 DGM	
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. CR21-53 RSM	
13	Plaintiff,	ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL	
14	v.	DATE	
15	MARCO CALVERT-MAJORS, and		
16	ADAM ANDERSON-DOTSON,		
17	Defendants.		
18			
19	LINITED STATES OF AMEDICA	No. CR21-56 RSM	
20	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. CR21-56 RSM	
21	Plaintiff,		
22	V.		
23	KENNETH N. LEE,		
24	Defendant.		
25			
2627	Order Continuing Trial Date - 1 U.S. v. Calvert-Majors et al., CR21-53RSM, U.S. v. Lumumba-Olabisa	ABIGAIL W. S. CROMWELL i et al., CR21- THE CENTER FOR PROGRESSIVE	
28	56RSM, U.S. v. Snipes et al., CR21-57RSM, U.S. v. McGee et al., CR2	21-58RSM, U.S. LEGAL DEFENSE, PLLC	
20	v. Ezell, CR21-62RSM, U.S. v. Clemente, CR21-63RSM, U.S. v. Jordo 64RSM, U.S. v. Evans, CR21-68RSM, U.S. v. Daniels, CR 21-69RSM	I, U.S. v. SEATTLE, WA 98104	

Arambula et al., CR21-107RSM, U.S. v. Moreno Aguirre et al., CR21-108RSM, U.S. v.

Abercrombie, CR21-117RSM, U.S. v. Hawkins, CR21-5213RSM

(617) 943-2277

ABIGAIL@THECPLD.COM

11	I		
1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No.	CR21-57 RSM
2	Plaintiff,		
3	V.		
4			
5	CURTIS G. SNIPES, and YUSEF H. PARRISH,		
6	TOSEI II. I MICHISTI,		
7	Defendants.		
8			
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No.	CR21-058 RSM
10	Plaintiff,		
11	v.		
12			
13	EUGENE MCGEE, and RANDOLPH P. BROWN,		
14	Defendants.		
15	Defendants.		
16 17	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No.	CR21-062 RSM
18	Plaintiff,		
19	v.		
20	TERRY EZELL,		
21	Defendant.		
22		1	
23			

Order Continuing Trial Date - 2 *U.S. v. Calvert-Majors et al.*, CR21-53RSM, *U.S. v. Lumumba-Olabisi et al.*, CR21-56RSM, *U.S. v. Snipes et al.*, CR21-57RSM, *U.S. v. McGee et al.*, CR21-58RSM, *U.S. v. Ezell*, CR21-62RSM, *U.S. v. Clemente*, CR21-63RSM, *U.S. v. Jordan*, CR 21-64RSM, *U.S. v. Evans*, CR21-68RSM, *U.S. v. Daniels*, CR 21-69RSM, *U.S. v. Arambula et al.*, CR21-107RSM, *U.S. v. Moreno Aguirre et al.*, *CR21-108RSM*, *U.S. v. Abercrombie*, CR21-117RSM, *U.S. v. Hawkins*, CR21-5213RSM

	1	
1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. CR21-063 RSM
2 3	Plaintiff,	
4	v.	
5	CESAR CLEMENTE,	
6	Defendant.	
7	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. CR21-064 RSM
8	Plaintiff,	
9	v.	
10 11	CRAIG JORDAN,	
12	Defendant.	
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. CR21-069 RSM
14	Plaintiff,	
15	v.	
16	CARLOS ROMALLIS DANIELS,	
17 18	Defendant.	
19	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. CR21-107 RSM
20	Plaintiff,	1.00 01.21 10.7 1.01.1
21	v.	
22	CESAR ARAMBULA,	
23	JORGE AGUILAR DURAN, and	
24	RAUL BARRETO BEJINES,	
2526	Defendants.	
20	Order Continuing Trial Date - 3	ABIGAIL W. S. CROMWELL

Order Continuing Trial Date - 3

U.S. v. Calvert-Majors et al., CR21-53RSM, U.S. v. Lumumba-Olabisi et al., CR21-56RSM, U.S. v. Snipes et al., CR21-57RSM, U.S. v. McGee et al., CR21-58RSM, U.S. v. Ezell, CR21-62RSM, U.S. v. Clemente, CR21-63RSM, U.S. v. Jordan, CR 21-64RSM, U.S. v. Evans, CR21-68RSM, U.S. v. Daniels, CR 21-69RSM, U.S. v.

Arambula et al., CR21-107RSM, U.S. v. Moreno Aguirre et al., CR21-108RSM, U.S. v. Abercrombie, CR21-117RSM, U.S. v. Hawkins, CR21-5213RSM

11	1	
1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. CR21-108 RSM
2	Plaintiff,	
3	v.	
4	CRESENCIO MORENO AGUIRRE,	
5	RAFAEL RAMIREZ,	
6	SAMUEL DUARTE AVILA,	
7	SERGIO REYES-PINA,	
8	ELYAS MOHAMED KEROW, and	
9	BRETT DAVID RADCLIFF,	
10	Defendants.	
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. CR21-117 RSM
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	
14	EDWARD ABERCROMBIE,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. CR21-5213 RSM
18	Plaintiff,	
19	v.	
20	KENDLE RASHEN HAWKINS,	
21	Defendant.	
22	2 01011341111	
23		

Order Continuing Trial Date - 4

U.S. v. Calvert-Majors et al., CR21-53RSM, U.S. v. Lumumba-Olabisi et al., CR2156RSM, U.S. v. Snipes et al., CR21-57RSM, U.S. v. McGee et al., CR21-58RSM, U.S. v. Ezell, CR21-62RSM, U.S. v. Clemente, CR21-63RSM, U.S. v. Jordan, CR 2164RSM, U.S. v. Evans, CR21-68RSM, U.S. v. Daniels, CR 21-69RSM, U.S. v.

Arambula et al., CR21-107RSM, U.S. v. Moreno Aguirre et al., CR21-108RSM, U.S. v.

24

25

26

27

28

Arambula et al., CR21-107RSM, U.S. v. Moreno Aguirre et al., CR21-108RSM, U.S. v. Abercrombie, CR21-117RSM, U.S. v. Hawkins, CR21-5213RSM

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

This matter comes before the Court on the joint motion of 15 of the 22 remaining defendants charged in these related cases to continue the trial in this matter and to schedule a status conference and motions schedule. Having considered the motion, any responses and objections, and all the files and records herein, the Court finds and rules as follows:

As to the defendants in above-captioned, related cases, and with the exception of Messrs Abercrombie (CR21-117 RSM), Hawkins (CR21-5213 RSM) and Ezell (CR21-062 RSM):

The facts supporting continuing the trial and excluding the consequent delay are set forth in Defendants' Motion to Continue, incorporated by this reference, and include the following: (a) the very large number of defendants charged; (b) the already large number of charged counts, which are likely to increase in anticipated superseding indictments; (c) the number of related indictments; (d) the nature of the prosecution, which includes wiretaps over multiple phone lines; (e) the volume of discovery produced, and still to be produced; and (f) the schedules of various counsel and their backlogs of cases due to the ongoing pandemic and resultant court closures.

THIS COURT FINDS, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii) that this case is sufficiently unusual and complex, due the combination of the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, as well as the existence of novel questions of fact, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation by the parties for pretrial proceedings or for the trial itself by the current trial date, or for the immediate future.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv), that even if Section 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii) did not apply, the failure to grant a continuance in this case would deny counsel for the several defendants and the

Order Continuing Trial Date - 5

U.S. v. Calvert-Majors et al., CR21-53RSM, U.S. v. Lumumba-Olabisi et al., CR21-56RSM, U.S. v. Snipes et al., CR21-57RSM, U.S. v. McGee et al., CR21-58RSM, U.S. v. Ezell, CR21-62RSM, U.S. v. Clemente, CR21-63RSM, U.S. v. Jordan, CR 21-64RSM, U.S. v. Evans, CR21-68RSM, U.S. v. Daniels, CR 21-69RSM, U.S. v. Arambula et al., CR21-107RSM, U.S. v. Moreno Aguirre et al., CR21-108RSM, U.S. v. Abercrombie, CR21-117RSM, U.S. v. Hawkins, CR21-5213RSM

Order Continuing Trial Date - 6

56RSM, U.S. v. Snipes et al., CR21-57RSM, U.S. v. McGee et al., CR21-58RSM, U.S. v. Ezell, CR21-62RSM, U.S. v. Clemente, CR21-63RSM, U.S. v. Jordan, CR 21-64RSM, U.S. v. Evans, CR21-68RSM, U.S. v. Daniels, CR 21-69RSM, U.S. v. Arambula et al., CR21-107RSM, U.S. v. Moreno Aguirre et al., CR21-108RSM, U.S. v. Abercrombie, CR21-117RSM, U.S. v. Hawkins, CR21-5213RSM

U.S. v. Calvert-Majors et al., CR21-53RSM, U.S. v. Lumumba-Olabisi et al., CR21-

attorney for the government the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation—due to all parties' need for more time to review the considerable volume of discovery and evidence produced, and still to be produced, and to consider possible defenses and motions—taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(B)(i), that the failure to grant a continuance into spring of 2023 would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible or result in a miscarriage of justice.

THE COURT THEREFORE FINDS, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A), that the ends of justice served by a continuance into the spring of 2023 outweigh the best interest of the public and the several defendants in a speedy trial. Moreover, pursuant to pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(6), the objections of those defendants who oppose a continuance of this length are overruled, as those defendants are joined for trial with other defendants for whom the time for trial has not run, and no motion to sever has been brought or granted. The defendants in these related cases are alleged to have conspired together. All of the related cases arise out of a common investigation, including common Title III interception applications and common search warrant applications.

THE COURT FINDS, in light of these factors, that it is unlikely that the parties can be reasonably ready to try this matter before spring 2023, at the earliest.

THIS COURT FINDS, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(6) and (7), that this is a reasonable period of delay, in that the significant majority of the defendants have indicated they require more time to prepare for trial and believe that spring 2023 is the earliest that they could be prepared to proceed to trial. The Court finds that given the complexity of the case, the number of defendants, and the

volume of discovery produced, and still to be produced, that this amount of time is, in 1 fact, necessary. 2 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the trial date will be 3 continued as to all defendants in all of the related cases captioned above until March 20, 4 2023 at 9:00 AM. 5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a status conference, to be attended by all 6 7 defendants who remain in the case, together with their counsel, as well as the 8 government, is scheduled for September 22, 2022 at 9:00 AM. An order addressing any 9 remaining discovery issues and setting a briefing scheduled for pretrial motions will be entered at that time. 10 11 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the defendants who have joined this motion shall file speedy trial waivers no later than May 6, 2022, to the extent they have not already 12 done so. 13 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the time between this date and the new trial date 14 is excluded in computing the time within which a trial must be held pursuant to Title 18, 15 United States Code, Section 3161, et seq. 16 17 18 IT IS SO ORDERED. 19 DATED this 14th day of April, 2022. 20 21 22 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ 23 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 24 25 26 Order Continuing Trial Date - 7 ABIGAIL W. S. CROMWELL 27 U.S. v. Calvert-Majors et al., CR21-53RSM, U.S. v. Lumumba-Olabisi et al., CR21-THE CENTER FOR PROGRESSIVE 56RSM, U.S. v. Snipes et al., CR21-57RSM, U.S. v. McGee et al., CR21-58RSM, U.S. LEGAL DEFENSE, PLLC 28 600 First Avenue v. Ezell, CR21-62RSM, U.S. v. Clemente, CR21-63RSM, U.S. v. Jordan, CR 21-**SEATTLE, WA 98104** 64RSM, U.S. v. Evans, CR21-68RSM, U.S. v. Daniels, CR 21-69RSM, U.S. v. (617) 943-2277 Arambula et al., CR21-107RSM, U.S. v. Moreno Aguirre et al., CR21-108RSM, U.S. v.

Abercrombie, CR21-117RSM, U.S. v. Hawkins, CR21-5213RSM

ABIGAIL@THECPLD.COM